Excellent cycling stability with high SnO$_2$ loading on a three-dimensional graphene network for lithium ion batteries
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Abstract

Carbon materials, including pyrolytic carbon and nanocarbon, especially graphene, have been reported to be introduced in the SnO$_2$-based anodes to decrease the capacity decay during cycling, but the capacity fading was not effectively suppressed. Herein, we report a three-dimensional cross-linked graphene material as the template to load electrochemically active material SnO$_2$. A 3D composite of graphene and SnO$_2$ was obtained with a relatively higher SnO$_2$ loading of 89.51 wt%. The material shows excellent cycling stability with high reversible specific capacity (97% capacity retention, ca. 1096 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 150 cycles at 1 A g$^{-1}$ with 95% capacity retention, and ca. 1073 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles at 1 A g$^{-1}$). Furthermore, its specific capacity remains at around 610 mA h g$^{-1}$ at a high current density of 5 A g$^{-1}$ (fully charged in ~15 min).

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Alloying type anode materials such as Si, Ge and Sn, which have superior theoretical lithium storage capacity, are considered as prospective anode materials to provide much higher energy density of next generation lithium ion batteries (LIBs) for applications, such as electronic devices, handy power tools, electric vehicles, and communication equipment [1–5]. Since metal oxides are easier to handle and process in comparison to corresponding elements and metals [6], oxides such as tin dioxide (SnO$_2$) have attracted considerable attention. The alloying and conversion lithiation mechanism of SnO$_2$ renders it a high theoretical capacity of 1494 mA h g$^{-1}$, corresponding to 8.4 mol lithium in total [7]. In addition, SnO$_2$ possesses merits such as low cost, abundance, environmental benignity and so on. However, SnO$_2$ anodes undergo large volume changes during the lithium insertion and extraction process (~300%), which is detrimental to the long-term lithium ion cycling since it will give rise to "electrochemical pulverization" of the active material [8], resulting in loss of electrical contact between the active materials and the current collector. This shortcoming results in the rapid capacity fading. Furthermore, the unstable solid state interface (SEI) films will decrease the capacity even though particle pulverization restrained, which will further impair the cycling stability.

Recently, extensive researches of SnO$_2$ as the anode materials had been conducted, especially for improving the electrochemical cycling performance. One approach is building pristine SnO$_2$ with rational design of hierarchical structures [9,10], sheets [11,12], or hollow nanostructures [13–16]. Another way is to introduce SnO$_2$ into a buffer layer matrix to overcome the volume expansion. Carbonaceous materials including pyrolytic carbon and graphene are the most explored buffer layer. Graphene, due to its large surface area, mechanical flexibility, chemical stability and excellent conductivity, is attracted extensive research interests in improving the cycling performance of SnO$_2$ anodes [17,18]. These SnO$_2$/graphene composites mostly denoted as SnO$_2$/rGO in which rGO represents graphene reduced from graphene oxide, exhibiting better electrochemical performance than pristine SnO$_2$, but initial decay always exists [19,20]. Scientists are trying hard to increase both the capacity and stability in the whole long cycling process. When adjusting the crystallinity of SnO$_2$ from crystalline to amorphous, the latter one was more effective than the former one in overcoming electrochemical and mechanical degradation for the intrinsically isotropic nature of amorphous SnO$_2$ [21]. The addition of dopants...
or other coating materials into the SnO2/graphene composites could also promote the electrochemical performance. For example, N-doping graphene composites, which further suppress the aggregation of Sn nanoparticles during the lithiation process and enhance the conversion reaction of SnO2 nanocrystals, can improve the reversibility of the lithium electrochemical activity of SnO2 [22–24]. Good cycling performance with a reversible charge capacity of 1346 mAh g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 0.5 A g⁻¹ has been achieved, much better than that of SnO2/rGO with only 500 mAh g⁻¹ after 200 cycles [24]. The LIB anodes prepared by using the F-doping SnO2 on surface of rGO sheets, which both enhanced electron transportation and lithium ion diffusion, exhibited a specific capacity of 1277 mAh g⁻¹ after 100 cycles [25]. Amorphous carbon [26] and conductive polymers [27,28] were also utilized to further coat on the SnO2/graphene composite to immobilize the SnO2, decrease the particles aggregation and suppress the continuous SEI film growth, thus also improved the cycling stability.

These methods above provide basically good cycling stability, but the absolute electrochemical capacity is not sufficiently high and still suffers from decay during the initial ten or twenty cycles. The fabrication of SnO2/graphene composite electrode material with both high capacity and stable cycling simultaneously is still a great challenge, particularly for the case with high SnO2 loading [23] (Table S1 in supporting information).

In this work, we designed a SnO2 nanoparticle and three-dimensional (3D) graphene composite (SnO2@3DG) with high SnO2 loadings (up to 89.51 wt%). The SnO2@3DG composite has a hierarchical structure where the graphene sheets assembled into 3D architecture and the SnO2 nanoparticles decorated uniformly on the graphene walls. The 3DG provides a robust three-dimensional structure and proper pore size to accommodate the large volume change of SnO2 nanoparticles, and the continuous graphene network of electrode material also provides better electrical conductivity and more effective lithium ion diffusion path. Therefore, the SnO2@3DG with near 90% loading of SnO2 exhibits excellent electrochemical performance, with a high capacity of ca. 1096 mAh g⁻¹ after 150 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ with 97% capacity retention, and ca. 1073 mAh g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ with 95% capacity retention.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials synthesis

2.1.1. Synthesis of 3DG

All the materials were used as purchased unless otherwise indicated. Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized from natural flake graphite (average particle diameter of 300 μm, 99.95% purity, Qingdao Huarun Graphite Co., Ltd.) by a modified Hummers’ method [29]. Then the GO dispersion (2 mg mL⁻¹) and ethanol (99.5%) were homogeneously mixed at a volume ratio of 1:1 (100 mL:100 mL) with intense agitation to form the GO alcohol hydrogel. The GO alcohol–hydrogel was poured into 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated to 180°C for 12 h. The 3DG was obtained after solvent exchange and freeze-dried, and finally annealing at 400°C for 2 h with a heating rate of 1°C min⁻¹ under a H2/Ar (5:95 v/v) atmosphere.

2.1.2. Synthesis of SnO2 nanoparticles

SnO2 nanoparticles were prepared using a similar method to what was previously reported [30]. 700 mg of SnCl2·2H2O (Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Research Institute) were added into 100 mL of ethanol/water mixture solvent (1:1 v/v) with intense agitation. Then, 6 M KOH solution was slowly added into the solution until pH = 7. The milk-like liquid was poured into 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated to 180°C for 12 h. The resultant was washed and freeze-dried, and finally annealing at 400°C for 2 h with a heating rate of 1°C min⁻¹ under a H2/Ar (5:95 v/v) atmosphere to get the final SnO2 nanoparticles.

2.1.3. Synthesis of SnO2@3DG composites

The GO alcohol hydrogel and a certain amount of SnCl2·2H2O (Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Research Institute) were homogeneously mixed at a mass ratio of 1:10 with intense agitation. After 5 min, 6 M KOH solution was slowly added into the solution until pH = 7. Then the viscous liquid was poured into 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated to 180°C for 12 h. The SnO2@3DG cylindrical resultant was washed and freeze-dried, and finally annealing at 400°C for 2 h with a heating rate of 1°C min⁻¹ under a H2/Ar (5:95 v/v) atmosphere to obtain the SnO2@3DG composites [30].

2.2. Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using a JEOL TEM-2100 electron microscope operated at 200 kV. The morphology of the 3DG was observed by scanning electron microscopy (Nova NanoSEM 230 operated at 10.0 kV), Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed on a Rigaku D/Max-2500 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. Thermogravimetric (TG) measurements (Netzsch-STA 449C) were conducted from room temperature to 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ in air, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out using AXIS HIS 165 spectrometer (Kratos Analytical) with a monochromatized Al Kα X-ray source (1486.71 eV photons) to analyze the chemical composition of the products. The nitrogen adsorption/desorption analysis was done at -77 K on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 apparatus.

2.3. Cell fabrication and electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical measurements were carried out using coin-type cells. To prepare working electrodes, SnO2@3DG composite, Super P carbon black, and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF, in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone) were mixed with mass ratio of 80:10:10 were mixed to produce a homogeneous slurry and coated onto a 10 μm thick Cu foil. After heating at 60°C for 3 h and 150°C for 1 h under vacuum, the electrode sheet was pressed and punched into ~11 mm diameter electrodes with a mass loading of ~1.25 mg. The coin-type cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with lithium metal foil as the counter/reference electrode. And the electrolyte was used as purchased from CAPCHEM Co., Ltd, Shenzhen, China (Part No. LBC3401A4, 1 M LiPF6 in EC/DEC (1:1) v/v) as the electrolyte with 10% of fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) as electrolyte additive). The charge/discharge measurements were performed using a battery test system (LAND CT2001A model, Wuhan LAND Electronics. Ltd.) over a voltage window from 0.01 to 3.00 V at room temperature. For the high current density cycle performance, the electrode was pretreated after conditional cycling. For example, the electrode, which was intended to cycle under 1 A g⁻¹, would be previously cycled after two charge–discharge cycles at the current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹ and two charge–discharge cycles at the current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹. Similarly, the electrode supposed to cycle at 0.5 A g⁻¹ would be previously cycled after two charge–discharge cycles at the current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were recorded using an Autolab system (Metrohm), CV tests were carried out in a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ at potential ranges of 0.01–3.00 V. EIS measurements were carried out at AC amplitude of 10 mV in the range of 100 kHz–10 mHz.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphological and structural studies

The composites with different loadings (~46–91%) of SnO2 were synthesized from different ratios of graphene and SnO2, and the rate performance results are shown in Fig. S1. The optimized and relatively high loading of SnO2 of 89.51 wt% (determined by the thermogravimetric analysis, Fig. 1a) demonstrated the highest capacity and was selected and studied further. Fig. 1b shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared 3DG, SnO2@3DG, together with that of the pristine SnO2 nanoparticles. The peaks of the SnO2@3DG composites are identical with those of the SnO2 nanoparticles, confirming the presence of tetragonal rutile-like SnO2 (JCPDS Card No. 41–1445). Furthermore, 3DG exhibits a very weak and extremely broad (002) peak in the range of 24–28°, indicating almost no or very weak long-range graphene sheet re-stacking [31].

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of SnO2@3DG is shown in Fig. 2, where two peaks at 487.2 and 495.6 eV were observed and are attributable to Sn 3d5/2 and Sn 3d3/2 spin–orbit peaks of SnO2, confirming the formation of SnO2 nanoparticles on the surface of graphene sheets. Fig. 2c displays the spectra of C 1s of SnO2@3DG composite. The C 1s region of graphite oxide gives two components at around 284.6 and 286.8 eV, which can be generally assigned to the C–C and C–O components, indicating that most of the oxygenated functional groups on graphene oxide have been removed during hydrothermal process. In addition, based on experimental nitrogen adsorption data, the pore-size distribution (Fig. 3b) of this material is analyzed using a non-local density functional theory (NL-DFT) method, showing that the pore distribution of the hybrid material from 2 to 75 nm in diameter, which is quite different from previous reports with a narrow pore distribution with small pores (usually less than 5 nm) [32]. Nano-composite electrode materials with wide pore size distribution can effectively accommodate volume change and is beneficial for electrolyte access, thus contributes to the high capacity and excellent cycling performance. The specific surface area (SSA) of SnO2 was calculated by the BET method based on adsorption data in the relative pressure (P/P0) (Fig. 3a). Despite a large percentage of SnO2 was introduced on graphene walls, the specific surface area (SSA) of the product is as high as 126 m² g⁻¹.

After the solvothermal reaction and high-temperature annealing, both 3DG and SnO2@3DG are formed as cylindrical bulk 3DG (shown in the insets of Fig. S2a and Fig. 4b, respectively). A schematic of the structure of the SnO2@3DG composite is shown in Fig. 4a. The SEM images of SnO2@3DG (Fig. 4b) shows it is highly porous material. Fig. 4c is the enlarged image of (Fig. 4b) and shows that the cross-linked part of the graphene walls, in which the graphene sheets randomly arranged and pores are constructed. As shown in Fig. 4c, graphene sheets vertically or aslant linked with each other rather than stacked with each other. This structure shows SnO2@3DG should be a three-dimensional monolithic graphene material, where the graphene sheets, as the building unit, are covalently crosslinked together through reactions between the oxygenic functional groups located mostly on the sheets edges during the solvothermal process and the bivalent tin ions reduce the GO sheets and adhere on it the same time [33–35]. Thus, this SnO2@3DG structure should be quite different from the previous reports of SnO2@Graphene composites [12,36–39], where the no three-dimensional crosslinked monolithic structure exist. This would be more beneficial for volume change and lithium ion transportation, thus good cycling stability at high rate. From the TEM image presented in Fig. 4d, it is obvious that large quantities of SnO2 nanoparticles are uniformly dispersed on the graphene sheets. The nanoparticles on the graphene sheets with diameter of ~20 nm in Fig. 4c echoes the nanoparticle cluster (the structures labeled with yellow lines) in Fig. 4d. In the selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (inset of Fig. 4d), four distinct diffraction rings represent (110), (101), (200), and (211), confirming the tetragonal rutile-like crystal form of SnO2 (JCPDS Card No. 41–1445), which is consistent with the XRD pattern (Fig. 1b). High resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) investigation (Fig. 4e) displays SnO2 nanocrystals bound in graphene sheets with sizes of ~5 nm. The distance of 0.33 nm can be identified as (110) of SnO2 nanoparticles. The structural uniformity of SnO2 nanocomposites on 3DG may offer an excellent mechanical integrity. Thus, such an unparalleled structure of SnO2@3DG would be expected to have superior performance for lithium storage.

3.2. Electrochemical performances

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of the first three cycles of the SnO2@3DG electrode under a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ at potential ranges of 0.01–3.00 V vs Li⁺/Li are shown in Fig. 5a. In the first negative scan (lithium ion insertion process), the cathodic peaks at 0.83 V disappeared in the following anodic scan, corresponding to the formation of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). From the second and third scan, the CV behavior is generally consistent with that reported previously [8,40]. Specifically, three characteristic pairs of redox peaks are clearly observed at the potential of (0.09 V, 0.58 V), (0.39 V, 1.30 V), and (1.17 V, 2.01 V). The first pair is ascribed to the reversible alloying and dealloying
reaction according to Eq. (2) and (3), shown below, while the two peaks at 1.30 V and 2.01 V are related to the conversion reaction depicted in Eq. (4) and (5). The above two peaks are more obvious than previous reports [19,20,24], which enable our composite to exhibit higher capacity. This fully conversion reaction of SnO2 and the good cycling stability are resulted from the unique and characteristic structure of 3D graphene framework with chemically bonded and cross linked graphene sheets, which enable retaining the overall morphology stability of the electrode and thus cycling stability.

\[
\text{Li}^+ \text{ insertion process} \\
\text{SnO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Sn} + 4.4\text{Li}^+ + 4.4e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_{4.4}\text{Sn} (<0.5V) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Li}^+ \text{ extraction process} \\
\text{Li}_{4.4}\text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 4.4\text{Li}^+ + 4.4e^- (-0.5V) \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{Sn} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SnO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- (-1.3V) \tag{4}
\]

\[
\text{SnO} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SnO}_2 + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- (>1.8V) \tag{5}
\]

The lithium lithiation/delithiation profiles of the SnO2@3DG electrode at a current density of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) in a voltage range of 0.01–3.00 V are shown in Fig. S3. Three slope regions can be identified in the discharge (lithium insertion) process of the first cycle, and it gives a very high discharge capacity of 1648 mA h g\(^{-1}\) and charge capacity of 1409 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with an initial Coulombic efficiency of 85.51%. This discharge capacity is near the theoretic capacity of the SnO2@3DG composite (theoretic capacity of this hybrid is calculated as \(C_{\text{theoretical}} = C_{\text{SnO}_2} \times \%\text{mass of SnO}_2 + C_{\text{Graphene}} \times \%\text{mass of Graphene} = 1494 \times 0.8951 + 744 \times 0.1049 = 1415\) mA h g\(^{-1}\)), showing a superior lithium storage capacity. The 14.5% capacity loss of the SnO2@3DG electrode may be mainly ascribed to the formation of the SEI film, similar as reported before [19,20]. Note the specific capacity values are calculated on the basis of the total mass of the SnO2@3DG hybrid material. Though there is a slight capacity loss in the first cycle, the capacity retention keeps steady during the following cycles. In the second and third charge/discharge cycles, the Coulombic efficiency increases to above 97%.

The rate and cycling performance of 3DG, SnO2 and SnO2@3DG are exhibited in the Fig. 5b and c. It can be clearly seen that SnO2@3DG exhibit good rate capability and maintain a high specific capacity in the current density range of 0.1–5 A g\(^{-1}\) (the specific capacities of SnO2@3DG remain at around 610 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 A g\(^{-1}\), fully charged in ~15 min), while the SnO2 nanoparticles show dramatic decay and the 3DG has a low specific capacity despite having excellent rate capability. The same capacity tendency of those three composites also appears in the cycling performance. After conditional cycling, the 3DG electrode delivers a low lithium storage capacity of 189 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 150 cycles, whereas the
capacity of pure SnO$_2$ electrode decays quickly (321 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 150 cycles) due to its huge volume change. In contrast, the SnO$_2$@3DG has specific capacity of 1127 mA h g$^{-1}$ in the initial cycle of 1 A g$^{-1}$ and it maintains at 1096 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 150 cycles. The voltage profiles generated by the SnO$_2$@3DG composite anode in the 1st, 10th, 50th, 100th and 150th cycles at a current density of 1 A g$^{-1}$ within a voltage window of 0.01 eV to 3.00 V are shown in Fig. 5d. Those profiles are nearly overlapped with each other, indicating the excellent cycle performance. These results demonstrates that the stable electrical contact of SnO$_2$ is retained during cycling despite the drastic volume change, leading to good cycling stability of the composite. Both impedance curves of fresh electrode and after 150 cycles show a compressed semicircle in the high-frequency region and an inclined line in the low-frequency region, which can be assigned to the charge-transfer resistance and semi-diffusion of lithium ions respectively. The diameter of the semicircle for the fresh SnO$_2$@3DG electrode is slightly larger than that after 150 cycles (Fig. S4), which means that the SnO$_2$@3DG electrode has a decreasing resistance for the interfacial electrochemical reaction after charge/discharge cycles.

3.3. Cycling stability testing

The cycling stability of the SnO$_2$@3DG anode was further tested at 1 A g$^{-1}$ for 500 cycles as illustrated in Fig. S5a, which indicates both excellent cycle life and high discharge (lithiation) and charge (delithiation) capacities with high Coulombic efficiency. After initial conditioning cycles, the specific capacity stabilizes at ca. 1120 mA h g$^{-1}$ during 150 cycles and the Coulombic efficiency increases to more than 99% after initial conditioning cycles. Besides, a
capacity of 1073 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained after 500 cycles, indicating a high capacity retention of 95%. The capacity still increased slowly to 1401 mA h g⁻¹ after 900 cycles (Fig. S6 and Fig. S7). Note there is a slight increase of the capacity from 300th cycle. While the reason is not clear to us, the ascending capacity may be ascribed to a reversible formation/decomposition of an organic polymeric film from the electrolyte, or an increasing reversibility of the electrochemical reaction between Li₂O and Sn²⁺ [22,41,42].

Our cycling result was compared with two pioneer earlier works which gave good electrochemical performance at 1 A g⁻¹ as shown in Fig. S5b. In the initial several cycles, the capacities of those two works decreased quickly (~300 mA h g⁻¹ capacity decay from the first cycle to the 20th cycle) and they attain stable cycling performance at a relatively low capacity (<1000 mA h g⁻¹) [19,20], whereas our capacity stabilize at ~1100 mA h g⁻¹ even for 100 cycles without obvious capacity decay. As is known to all, most electrode materials demonstrate higher capacity only at a lower current density, such as at 0.5 A g⁻¹. But for comparison, the performance of SnO₂@3DG is also tested at 0.5 A g⁻¹ (Fig. S5c). As is shown in Fig. S5d, the capacity of SnO₂@3DG in the first cycle is close to reported studies, but the cycle performance of SnO₂@3DG has nearly 100% capacity retention even at 100th cycle, compared with only ~67% capacity retention of reported results [16,19,20,24,43] (Fig. S5d).

In order to explore the reasons of the excellent cycling stability and high capacity of the SnO₂@3DG composite, the morphologies of the electrode materials after cycling performance testing were studied. Fig. S8 shows the TEM images of SnO₂@3DG composite after 20 and 150 cycles of discharge/charge tested at 1 A g⁻¹. As can be seen from Fig. S8b and S8d, SnO₂ nanoparticles were uniformly anchored on the graphene sheets and the particle size of the SnO₂ on graphene sheets are unchanged, indicating that the particles were not aggregated and neither pulverized into smaller particles. This might be due to the three-dimensional network of cross-linked graphene sheets, which provides not only the necessary conductivity channel but also the much needed cushion space to accommodate the expanded SnO₂ particles during the changing process [33].

4. Conclusions

In summary, a composite anode material (SnO₂@3DG) was prepared with SnO₂ nanoparticles and three-dimensional (3D) graphene combination with a high SnO₂ loading percent. The material exhibits long-term stability upon cycling with high specific capacity (97% capacity retention, ca. 1096 mA h g⁻¹ after 150 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ and 95% capacity retention, ca. 1073 mA h g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹) and superior rate capability (610 mA h g⁻¹ at a high current density of 5 A g⁻¹). This excellent electrochemical performance is believed due to the three-dimensional linked graphene sheet template structure, which enable facilitated ion transportation while keeping the overall electrode morphology stable without significant volume change.
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